

**STOKENHAM PARISH COUNCIL
MINUTES OF THE MEETING
HELD ON 19TH SEPTEMBER 2019 IN THE
STOKENHAM PARISH HALL**

PRESENT:**COUNCILLORS:****MRS P. DOUST (Chairman)****J. ANSELL****J. CHURTON****A. GOODMAN****J. GARDNER****J. BRAZIL (District and County Councillor)****MISS G. ADDISON****L. COWLEY****R. PAIN****T. LYNN****A. GHADIALI****Also in attendance:****Clerk – Mrs G. Claydon****APOLOGIES:****COUNCILLORS:****P. SPENCE****C. ROGERS****MRS. S. ROWLAND****1. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

Councillors were invited to declare any disclosable interests, including the nature and extent of such interests they had in any items to be considered at this meeting. They were also reminded to consider whether in the light of recent activities any items within their Register of Interests should be updated. It was advised that any unforeseen request for a Dispensation would be considered by the Clerk at this point only if there was no way a councillor would have been aware of such before the meeting. None Received.

2. MINUTES

The Minutes of the:

- (a) full council meeting dated 18th July 2019 were approved by all present and duly signed as a true and correct record.
- (b) Planning Committee meeting none held August or September 2019.

3. OPEN FORUM

Colin Riddiough of 13 Coombe Meadows and lived in his property for two years having put a lot of effort into finding somewhere he liked and an available house. Now his neighbour had installed a 45foot long and 16foot wide lodge that was taller than it should be and blocked the whole left hand side. They did not go through obtaining planning permission so he had spent twelve months with letters going back and forward with planners who now said the structure was a caravan. He took issue with this as it had to be installed over the adjacent properties by crane. However it was being said that if it could be taken down and put on a lorry then the planning system could not do anything about it. As ancillary accommodation it was deemed fit but he knew this was not the case. The owners Mr and Mrs Pengelly formerly from Torcross had moved in three months ago and this week had rented out their property at Torcross. They had since applied for a Certificate of Lawfulness which was more or less retrospective planning permission. So the structure had been installed and they waited 6 months and then applied for a certificate. Mr Riddiough acknowledged that Parish Council objected to this application, thankfully, but he wanted to make everyone aware that all communication from District Council said the applicants were entitled to this. Now Mr and Mrs Riddiough had no privacy as this from structure the

occupants could look from their kitchen window, into Mr and Mrs Riddiough's bedroom window. It was situated 42 inches away from the fence. District planning had asked for a lot more information from the owners. Mr and Mrs Riddiough now suffer cooking smells into their house, no sun in the evening and had noise from the neighbour's radio from the close by windows and rooflights up. He had spoken to the Pengellys on the day the structure was being installed as they were told it was only going to be a summer house. He had brought this to this Parish Council meeting for their attention as if these applicants could get away with this anyone could do it at other properties around the parish. They had also numbered the property separately as 12a and this had been with a postcode, but another councillor said it would only be registered at the post office. Mr Riddiough had all his correspondence from 17th November 2018 should anyone need sight of it. A decision on the Certificate of Lawfulness was supposed to be given by the end of September but this had been extended to the end of October due to the request for further information. It was noted that numbering the 'caravan' alone implied it was not a structure ancillary to the main residence. Dist Cllr Brazil had been contacted. Cllr Gardner suggested that at present it was best to leave consideration to the planning process firstly. It was suggested that if planning officers were minded to approve this structure then Parish Council should request Dist Cllr Brazil to take the matter to Development Management.

Graham Bass was from Gratton Drive, Chillington and had two matters to raise. He and a friend living in the village narrows, with a guide dog, were registered blind. His concern was with speeding traffic through the village which he felt was not acceptable and something needed to be done. It required signs advising of blind people using the highway and a need to slow. What with electric cars this increased danger for the blind who whilst not seeing could not hear vehicles.

On another matter he lived adjacent to a B&B property with a listed wall which divided his property from them. Within the B&B walled garden was a large (75ft) ash tree which he felt had Ash die back. He had alerted the owner to this fact and received no response and was worried that the tree would come down. The Chairman noted that she had heard from this property owner and had contacted the tree officer at South Hams District Council and been advised it was up to the owner to deal with this matter. Those present sympathised with these concerns and Mr Bass thanked everyone for their support.

Cty Cllr Brazil arrived at this point and the Chairman outlined the previous comments.

Cty Cllr Brazil advised that Devon County had been running a campaign called '20 is plenty' in Devon. He advised that they could not put street furniture in the narrows and noted that motorists had to slow down at that point because of the reduced width. He understood that for the blind that it was difficult but they had to consider all the other 1000s of people using it. Cty Cllr Brazil explained that even if a 20 mph restriction was in place in the village resourcing compliance would probably not happen as there were not the police facilities. Discussion in detail took place with the complainant and it was acknowledged there were issues with speeding in all the villages. Cllr Pain noted that Charleton there was a footpath designated but these were no longer installed on road as they were not covered legally. Cllr Gardner suggested rumble strips so that cars could be heard.

DISTRICT AND COUNTY COUNCILLOR'S REPORT

Cllr Brazil referred to local issues in the village and that County were negotiating a flooding scheme at the bottom of Coleridge Lane. It was proposed to run a culvert through by the business units in that area and he had been talking with landowner but County seemed to have hit a 'brick wall' so he would chase this up. The A379 road at Frogmore was to be closed overnight on the following Monday to carry out the works previously mentioned. There had been a recent consultation relating to Slapton Line and its vulnerable position and to try to persuade people not to continue to plan to include use of the road on a daily basis. Mainly comments received had

been from mothers of school children at Stokenham School who came from the Strete end and insisted the road remained accessible. One mother however had taken the information in and was being proactive and moving over the Kingsbridge side. The Chairman noted that when admissions were sought by Devon County, people applying from Strete should be advised that the road could go in the next few years. Cllr Brazil continued that County was not giving up on the road but being realistic that this section of A379 road would eventually go. Those on the Partnership wanted to develop Torcross and the Line as a tourist destination with a beach and nature reserve and therefore consideration needed to be given to extra car parking, provision of water sports, cycling etc. Provision was being made for expanding the car park at Strete Gate and this end perhaps this could happen at Stokeley or somewhere close by to support local businesses going forward. He noted that Cllr Ghadiali was doing some work with people at Torcross.

District Council turned down the Green Park Way application and he noted that one main objector had retained a top drainage specialist who called into question many of the assumptions that County made on drainage, which was good but worrying at the same time. The Chairman noted that many more documents had come online on the District Planning website since the meeting last Wednesday. She asked what that indicated and Cllr Brazil stated these documents should have been up there in the first place. The Director from Acorn requested to talk to Cllr Brazil but he felt he was the wrong person being the local representative for this area. People accepted there was to be development but it was too much and the scheme should address the drainage issues and then put houses on top, not the other way around. Nothing was referred to about the two storey buildings being raised on platforms and how this would impact on peoples' lives. It was noted that the applicant had outline planning permission but not yet full and questioned whether there was a time limit on Outline as with Full but Cllr Brazil was not certain. It was however an allocated site so there would be building thereon.

Cllr Cowley noted there had been a parish meeting with potential developers for the old butchers' site. As there was no plan for that area it appeared the architect had specified installing as many 2 and 3 bedroom properties as possible but this proposal did not meet the current requirement for Torcross. Could the applicant be pointed towards business/tourism development on that site? Cllr Brazil advised no. They could apply for what they wanted but as it was in an AONB and on another adjacent site that was turned down because it sat in an AONB. With the new local plan where there was no Neighbourhood Plan in place it was up to district to direct what was needed in that area, so it was questioned what pre application advice would have said? Cllr Cowley noted that there had been a change in attitude for Torcross and the future loss of the A379 Line but the line had nothing to do with the planning system so did District just go with what they decided 5 years ago for housing? Cllr Ghadiali noted that the site was commercial/industrial use although there was one property, the old butchers, within it.

Cllr Brazil noted that with Beesands he had requested that all capital programmes which included these beach huts should go back for the new members, recently elected, to relook at the capital programme. There had been a meeting about Climate Change and Biodiversity and following a lot of talk they were awaiting a plan with targets and indicators. As to what areas this could translate to at District they felt as a council what they could influence was mainly in the area of planning. Zero carbon was sought but they had given permission to houses that were not zero so on this and biodiversity they needed to start taking these matters seriously for eco systems etc. With collection of rubbish and how this was done for the whole waste stream and generally see as a local council how they could lead by example. Should they seek only local suppliers with organic food, or put solar panels on all depots etc. The Chairman noted the current scheme for solar panels was being funded partly by District Council, Qerb Energy and EDF along with other projects such as funding for cavity wall insulation and loft insulation. Cllr Brazil advised that these were central Government incentives.

There was to be consultation on an emerging Supplementary Planning Document putting flesh on the Joint Local Plan across the whole board, concentrating on housing and affordability together with climate change and biodiversity.

The Chairman noted the earlier request for the consideration of the unit at Coombe Meadows to go to Development Management. He responded that as this was a Certificate of Lawfulness application it was not a normal planning application and therefore he could not call for it to go to committee. The unit was classed as a caravan and fitted into that criterion but with 12A on the letterbox and utilities not feeding off the main house this was questioned. It appeared that permitted development allowed owners to have a caravan in the back garden.

Cllr Brazil dealt with the concern on open Space and the need for planning permission at Chillington Playing Field at this late stage for the drainage works but this would be required. For the play equipment at Stokenham Village Green he would speak to Richard Gage as the Conservation Area officer.

The Clerk enquired what the new Works Permit Scheme covered and how this would change applications for Road Closure. Also the container that continued to be sited on the County car park outside the Church House Inn, Stokenham was to be questioned. Cllr Ghadiali asked if the Slapton Line adaptation plan should come into the District Climate Change talks. Cllr Pain noted that certain road signs were falling to bits but Cllr Brazil advised that County were not funding any more so parishes must do this.

Cllr Brazil left the meeting.

4. PLANNING & PLANNING MATTERS

The below applications were considered under delegated authority after input from parish councillors before a response was sent to District Planning to meet the deadline.

- 0768/19/FUL Erection of a timber frame building (re-submission of 0942/18/FUL) Land at SX801406 adjacent to Moyson Stokenham - Response 15th August. Objection. Given the location on elevated ground in a prominent position in the AONB, visible from miles around in every direction, this small amenity woodland was entitled to the highest level of protection from unnecessary development that did not lead to an enhancement of its immediate setting. Parish Council did not share the applicant's view that the erection of a large timber-frame building was necessary or desirable in this sensitive location and felt that the proposed commercial justification was bogus and lacking in credibility. The woodland's elevated position meant that it was exposed to the full force of the prevailing south-westerly winds. As a result the trees had a stunted, twisting habit, with few having made more than a few metres of growth. The mix of species was typical of amenity woodland – rowan, hazel, willow, alder, hawthorn – none of which were typically harvested for timber, especially given their twisted, wind-scalped habit. This meant that the crop would have little or no commercial value. It should be noted that the site was already permanently occupied by a caravan and was a venue for private parties and other social gatherings at various times of year. The introduction of a large new building was likely to lead to an increase in such activity and added to the sense of creeping development towards use of the field for dwelling.

Parish Council also noted that the applicant had conveniently drawn a red line round a restricted area of the nearly-10-acre site in order to fall short of the trigger threshold for a Wildlife Report. Thus it was felt it was unlikely that any wildlife would recognise the red line boundary and recommended that an assessment be made on the entire site.

- 2299/19/CLE Application Type Certificate of Lawfulness Existing Use Lawful development certificate for existing development of lodge in rear garden 12 Coombe Meadows Chillington - Response 13th August. Objection although it is acknowledged this application only seeks comment. Parish Council did not consider that the requirements of The Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 had been met in terms of the statutory notice provided.

In addition, the development triggered several of the clauses for "Development not permitted" under Classes A1 and E1 of Schedule 2 of the Order - specifically A1(b), (f) / (g), (i) and E1(b), (e)(ii) or (iii), and it was questioned why these were not spotted by officers at the pre-application discussions.

Parish Council also question the use class that had been stated and did not believe that this came within use class C2.

The paucity of information accompanying the application was regrettable. A retrospective application for a development or Certificate of Lawfulness with such impact upon immediate neighbours was unacceptable.

The applications below were considered at this meeting and the following observations submitted to District:

- 2571/19/HHO Householder application for single storey side extension and alterations to existing dwelling 29 Coombe Meadows, Chillington – No objection.
- 2884/19/HHO Householder application two storey extension to provide property with garaging, en-suite facilities and additional bedroom (resubmission of consent 2135/16/HHO) Cliffside, Beesands – Objection as there was no flood risk assessment provided and this property sat within Flood Zone 3, adjacent to the sea, so would need to go through such sequential testing process. Parish Council also noted the screening, which they requested on a previous application, had been removed and this needed to be reinstated. It was questioned, and a response requested, as to why this application had been validated considering the lack of a Flood Zone risk assessment.

PLANNING CORRESPONDENCE

- 2425/19/HHO Householder application to build on top of existing single storey extension (revisions to consent 3175/17/HHO) 2 Blue Waters, Stokenham – Response 20th September – No objection but parish council raised concerns with regard to the proposal for floor to ceiling windows that could affect the surrounding dark area and wildlife habitats with light pollution in relation to TTV29(5), DEV2(4), DEV23 and DEV25. It was also suggested that this property should provide increased on-site parking to mitigate the increase of bedrooms, and thus vehicle ownership, as any overflow of parking could affect the nearby and adjacent highway flow and visibility splays.

5. CHILLINGTON PLAYING FIELD

It was AGREED that payment for two matters to be submitted under one planning application for the drainage works, slide and night landing site could be expended at the correct District fee.

6. RE-LOCATION AND ONGOING MAINTENANCE OF SLAPTON LINE MONUMENT

A report provided by Devon County Council and the Slapton Line Partnership proposed to remove and re-install the monument at Strete Gate, due to coastal erosion, and sought ongoing joint contribution from the parishes for its upkeep. It was AGREED in principle that the

monument be moved to Strete Gate with a request for an outline idea of annual costs and liabilities for maintenance and repairs being sought from the higher tier authorities. It was also asked whether this structure was an officially designated War Memorial as this would dictate whether grant funding could be sought.

7. GREEN PARK WAY

A one-off contribution of £150 by Stokenham Parish Council towards the cost for a solicitor to consider the drainage expert's report was RATIFIED regarding this proposed development application and a letter had accordingly been sent to the District Planning Department raising community concerns in relation to flooding.

8. STOKENHAM VILLAGE GREEN

The following was APPROVED as indicated;

- (a) the payment by parish council for the play equipment purchased from funds paid over by Stokenham Village Community Association, Stokenham Village Green and a Community Grant from District and installation not exceeding £10000 - AGREED.
- (b) the addition of this area and equipment to be included in the Parish Council insurance cover at no additional insurance premium cost - AGREED
- (c) Play Inspection to carry out a post installation inspection at a cost of £295 plus VAT - AGREED and
- (d) to add this area to the quarterly and annual inspection routine - AGREED.

9. SOUTH HAMS DISTRICT COUNCIL RETURNING OFFICER'S PROPOSALS FOR POLLING STATIONS

South Hams District Council advised they were required by law to carry out a review of the polling districts and polling places in the South Hams every 5 years and they had offered proposals for response by 20th September.

District proposed to move the Beesands polling to Stokenham Parish Hall, due to lack of facilities in the current Chapel venue, and this was AGREED with a response to District that the new site was more accessible.

Cllr Gardner realised his interest in the next matter and left the meeting whilst it was discussed.

10. TORCROSS TO STOKENHAM PERMISSIVE PATH

It was acknowledged that notice had been served by the landowners regarding closing a section of the permissive path from the church car park down past the derelict barn on 1st December 2019. It was AGREED that action should be taken to redirect the path across the field through and the churchyard. It was also noted that walking routes were directed from Carehouse Cross around Kiln Lane and suggested that notices of such route and signage to advise should be obtained and erected.

11. PARISH EVENT

The proposals for the Endurance Life event to be held on 1st February 2020 had been circulated and it was AGREED that the information now provided was very clear and did not state free parking but suggested car parks, so this literature and whole event planning was a real improvement. Cllr Churton did advise that he was concerned that inaccurate maps were being used as they showed a Hallsands car park whereas he asserted that coastal erosion and development changes meant this was private land. Some discussion did suggest that shuttle buses from Kingsbridge should be considered if weather vulnerable car parks were no longer available.

STANDARDS AND GOVERNANCE TRAINING

It was AGREED that Cllrs Mrs Doust and Cowley would attend.

12. REPORTS

- Cllr Lynn – Thanked parish council for the portaloos at Hallsands which had again been well received and used thus keeping the area hygienic.
- Cllr Churton – Had been approached by some parishioners with regard to speeding traffic and abuse received from car drivers along with inappropriate parking. He had spoken to Cty Cllr Brazil who suggested that local people might be able to purchase some simple signage which might be helpful. There was no authority for this but at least they could try as the lanes were narrow and frequently used by young people, mothers with small children along with prams and dog walkers. A few local people were going to get together to form a plan and speak with Cty Cllr Brazil. Cllr Lynn noted that incident had become heated at Hallsands when the approach roads were full and motorists just dumped vehicles. The Coleridge Bus service had been unable to access and turn around. On the parking matter Cllr Miss Addison noted that at Beesands people were parking along the section of road adjacent to the Village Green that stated no parking.
- Cllr Cowley – Had attended the Development Management meeting that considered Green Park Way. He had taken exception to some of the explanations provided but essentially the application was refused on the layout and design appearance, but only because District planning said they could not refuse on drainage matters. County had agreed reserved matters and it was then District Council had a statutory duty to consult as the County Flood Team. The residents' expert, Dr Bennett, made a brilliant presentation to the District Council Planning Committee who then tried to find a way to object, although officers stated they could not bring in drainage issues at this point. The consensus was that the drainage scheme had interfered with the layout and that this made the application unacceptable. Whether this would stand at Appeal was unknown. To date there was no Refusal notice posted on the Planning Portal and this was probably due to the phrasing of the decision notice. Cllr Pain felt that Dr Bennett's explanation could be submitted on Appeal but Cllr Cowley noted that if the Appeal was on the grounds of the objection then the drainage would not come into it.
- Cllr Ansell – Attended the Kingsbridge and Salcombe Estuary Forum and gave an overview of the presentation by Jon Grimes, Catchment Sensitive Farming Officer. There was another presentation from an Environment Agency officer but this was provided over a phone so was hard to hear. Reference was made to the Plymouth Sound National Marine Park and how it was constituted in order to put Plymouth on the map for eco friendly tourism. Moving on to red tides, to some extent, some wondered if they were caused by the geography of the estuary, which was not actually an estuary, as it did not flush completely at low water. Perhaps the green floating plant on the mud flat was affecting birds. The dinoflagellates produced red tides, some toxic and some not, and was one of the reasons why they could not grow oysters in this location. The wild pacific oysters had gone feral and were being culled although Cllr Lynn was not sure whether this has been stopped as it could be in fact creating more.
- Cllr Goodman – Noted there were signs required on the fingerpost at Mattiscombe Cross directing people to Kellaton.
- Cllr Miss Addison – Had attended the Chillington Community Association meeting and helped at the village day last Saturday. This had been a good event as the weather was perfect and many attended the event so the money was raised for the night landing site. A ramp had been installed by the Helmers Management Group for access across the bridge to the playing field from the Helmers Estate. The meeting had talked briefly about the telephone box in the village and there was now some interest in what might happen with it. Cllr Gardner advised that the 999 phone in the middle car park along the A379 Line was

not working. Concern was raised about access onto the grass on the playing field and parking on the footpath surface because these paths were not strong enough for vehicles. The lock up placed behind the hall should be walked to or the group should give consideration to moving its entrance to the vehicle access end.

- Cllr Ghadiali – Noted that he had been asked to get a feel for what people in Torcross felt was needed development wise and this was not more houses. He had met with Alan Denbigh to discuss the views on the future of the whole bay and how Torcross was going to have to change. From those spoken to that suggested that perhaps having a business like a hotel and year round trade was an asset and this could provide infrastructure for a hub for cycling, canoes etc. He along with Cllr Spence and the Clerk then met with Duncan Smith and Dan Field, District Officers, to discuss the benefits of a Neighbourhood Plan. Thereafter there was a meeting with Frances Northrop, Economic Development NEF, regarding work in the bay. Frances had agreed to come back with a plan of how to engage with people regarding proposals that could work in the area. It was felt from meetings that a Neighbourhood Plan did not work in this situation as its focus was on housing development. He asked others at this meeting for their views and Cllr Lynn felt that if the Line was to breach Torcross would not exist anymore. Cllr Ghadiali said he hoped that Dan Field was in fact right and that the defences put in place would hold far enough along for the properties to remain with a further north facing wall to secure the village. Cllr Lynn continued that if the lowest part of Slapton flooded the lower area would become flooded as the streams would have nowhere to go. Some consideration must be given to what would be supported at Torcross otherwise developers would build what they wanted to build. Cllr Ghadiali was aware of starting a conversation with villagers that could ‘set a hare running’ so he was trying to sound out everyone so that when a consultation was held it would be meaningful. Traffic going through Torcross was discussed.

CLERK’S REPORT

- During recess a consultation was received regarding British Telecom Telephone Boxes around the parish. It was proposed to remove Stokenham, Chillington and Torcross boxes and following feedback from parish councillors it was highlighted that Chillington kiosk should remain due to its location and the fact that reception along that stretch was often unobtainable for mobiles and a large development of properties was proposed nearby. Due to the lack of use and general run down state of the Stokenham and Torcross kiosks these facilities were not felt to be required although it was noted that the Stokenham kiosk had been used six times over the last year.
- Following the request at the last meeting for safety works to be carried out on the entrance fence to the playing field in Chillington it was necessary to agree a higher expenditure on materials and these works had been completed.
- Devon County Highways update conference to be held Friday 4 October - Kenn Centre, Kennford or Thursday 10 October - Rattery Village Hall, Rattery from 10a.m. – 1p.m.
- Devon County Report a Pothole/Problem now allows more than one pothole to be recorded in one online report. They also had a scheme called ‘Managing your Verge’ along with ‘Adopt a Roundabout’ which encouraged communities to create wildflower areas along verges and take control of roundabout maintenance with sponsorship from local businesses. There was also now a Pavement Parking report portal.
- A County Open Data resource showing highway drains and time rotas for clearing was available but found hard to navigate.
- A Devon Maritime Forum South West Coastal Change Conference 2019 was to be held 'Managing our Coast in a Climate Emergency', 26th November 2019 at Plymouth Marine Station. Agenda and booking details would be out soon on the DMF website and to reserve a place email jay.boyle@devon.gov.uk.

The two members of the public left the meeting.

- Devon Dark Skies Day was to be 31st October 2019 with an evening event being held at County Hall, Exeter for the public.
- Road Closure planned by Kier for South West Water works in Strete between the 17th – 19th December, works to take approximately one day.
- There was to be a bouncy castle provided by a contractor in September for a birthday party being held on the Chillington Playing Field. An approach had been made by another resident to allow a provider to bring donkeys for the children of a birthday party to ride on but they had since decided against this event for this year.
- Safer Together Fire Service proposals had responded on the comments received from Stokenham Parish Council. They referred to Dartmouth and Kingsbridge stations in particular and advised these had been logged and noted as part of their consultation process. With reference to the question posed, there were no proposed changes for Salcombe or Kingsbridge stations, which they noted was near to this parish, with Modbury a further station in the area to be able to respond. They advised they operated a dynamic mobilisation system where they mobilised the nearest available appliance irrespective of base station, so in terms of knowledge of the area, it was likely appliances may already attend incidents across this area. With regard to moorland/wildfires, the service would look to continue to use expertise and specialist equipment to tackle these, as they did across the Service. It did not appear that they understood the imminent possibility that a section of the A379 road would disappear and a further response would be sent advising of this issue.

13. FINANCE AND CHEQUES***Balances were provided and the below transactions were approved:***

Current £2006.28

Savings £99,145.67

Received – August

Various cheques held from the community towards Stokenham Play Equipment £6000.00

Received – September

Tucker – Administration fee for use of Chillington Playing Field £20.00

SHDC – Communities Together Fund Grant for Stokenham V. Green Play Equipment £1757.56

Various further payments held towards Stokenham Play Equipment £2064.20

SHDC – ½ yearly precept £20380.00

Payments in August:

Caledonia Play – Deposit for Stokenham Play Equipment £2360.00

HMRC – Tax & NI £181.93

Wages £1149.87

DCC– Pension £360.43

Orchard Link – Hire of Press for October 2019 £45.00

Stokenham Parish Hall – Part 3 of Parish Hall Grant £1992.40

Devon Communities Together – Annual Subs. £50.00

Youth Genesis Trust Ltd – Grant to Youth Club in Chillington £1000.00

Greenspace – Tanpits Grass cuts May, June and July £385.00

Cardiac Science – Beeson Defibrillator £2112.00

Payments in September:

Caledonia Play – Balance of invoice for Stokenham Play Equipment £7049.20

Charles Rogers Building Contractor – Repair to Chillington Playground entrance fence £158.54

HMRC – Tax & NI £181.93

Wages – £1149.87

DCC – Pension £360.43

Hawthorns Acc. Sers. Ltd – 5 months of payroll £50.00

Hayden Solicitors Ltd – Contribution to Green Park Way legal letter on drainage report £150.00

Cheques: None

14. NEXT MEETING

The next full parish council meeting would be held on Thursday 17th October with a planning committee being meeting held on the first Thursday in October 2019, if necessary, due to additional plans being received that could not await full council. Both meetings commenced at 7.30p.m. in the Wesley Smith Room at Stokenham Parish Hall.

Meeting finished: 22.22p.m.

Signed Chairman Dated: 17th October 2019.