

**STOKENHAM PARISH COUNCIL  
MINUTES OF THE MEETING  
HELD ON 20<sup>TH</sup> SEPTEMBER 2018 IN THE  
STOKENHAM PARISH HALL**

**PRESENT:****COUNCILLORS:****P. SPENCE (Chairman)****T. LYNN****MRS T DAYMENT****L. COWLEY****J. GARDNER****J. BRAZIL (District and County Councillor)****Also in attendance:****Clerk – Mrs G. Claydon****J. ANSELL****MRS P. DOUST****W. FLETCHER-NEAL****J. CHURTON****APOLOGIES:****COUNCILLORS:****C. ROGERS****R. JACKSON****MRS. S. ROWLAND****A. GOODMAN****1. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

Councillors were invited to declare any disclosable interests, including the nature and extent of such interests they had in any items to be considered at this meeting. They were also reminded to consider whether in the light of recent activities any items within their Register of Interests should be updated. It was advised that any unforeseen request for a Dispensation would be considered by the Clerk at this point only if there was no way a councillor would have been aware of such before the meeting. None received.

**2. MINUTES**

The Minutes of the:

(a) Parish council meeting dated 19<sup>th</sup> July 2018

(b) Planning Committee meeting none held August 2018

were tabled and after consideration approved by all present and duly signed as a true and correct record.

**3. OPEN FORUM**

Pete and Andrea Moore were in attendance to outline their proposal for a forthcoming planning application they intended to submit for their outward bounds centre. Pete gave a brief resume of their teaching abilities and close contact with the area having grown up locally and their children attending local schools. Five years ago they bought the Discovery Centre from Mike Rogers and set up Forest and Beach as a social enterprise business with all profits going back into the centre. A lot of time and energy had been spent getting children back out into the open air with outdoor team activities, cooking, den building and such like. The centre was used during the school term and in holiday time it was a type of child care provision. The also held forest days, beach days along with Dad's Fest which was an opportunity for dads and/or male carers to experience the outdoor activities with good quality time with their children. The venue was also hired out for small weddings, birthday hire, team days and opportunities for local businesses to spend time team building outside. School residential included Year 3 to Year 6 children and Forest and Beach teamed up with other outdoor activities such as Singing Paddles to provide events whilst camping out in tents. Pete also acted as an outdoor educational consultant in schools training staff how to use outdoor classes. Their main income came from forest days and holiday activities with

upward of 400 children also coming from Plymouth, Torbay and around. They were currently receiving a 100% rebooking rate. They were teaming up with local companies to provide provisions and had links with Britannia Naval College as an outreach for them for working with children.

There was a lot posted on social media and they now had started to build a big following. Items such as the effects of the storms along the coast around here were reported and this reached 30,000 people with 18,000 engaging. They had been shortlisted with another five as a South West Regional Finalist for the Best Outdoor Recreation and Outdoor Pursuits Business.

The centre was not being used enough and they were thinking about how to extend to all year round usage. Children (and others) could not camp during November to February so they could not take groups of children out of the summer season. When Mike Rogers was selling the Discovery centre he ran it as a farming education centre so its original build ethos was for reusing materials. None of their original business build up had been funded from outside as they felt it had to work as a business by itself with hard work.

The original planning permission granted bunk rooms upstairs along with staff accommodation and large open plan area downstairs area and all this was done from recycled materials. The build materials were now old the front was leaking but they could not move forward as a business venture without putting planning changes to the building and inputting a lot of investment. They had met with an architect and were now ready to put planning in. They were going to sell their house and use this income as an investment to build a new centre with upstairs self-contained living accommodation for their family. It would be a live work unit whilst improving a rural business. Their reasons for needing to work on site were that when children were camping there they needed to provide 24 hour access and at present Pete was sometimes making up to 15 journeys per day between the centre and his home. A lot of those accompanying children (sometimes 50-80) were not confident with children in the outside environment. Also by putting more into the business there was a need for safety and security by them remaining on site.

The Chairman thanked them and requested that once the plans were drafted and submitted parish council would like to visit them on site to understand the plans and whole centre.

***Andrea and Pete Moore left the meeting.***

The Chairman welcomed and thanked John Tucker for attending. He went on to note that the District Council rationale regarding charging for entry to public toilets was due to no money. However he questioned whether the pay for entry system was the only one considered and if others were why did they choose charging for entry. John explained that they could keep the toilets open, close them or charge for entry. A fourth option now coming to light was that in some areas the parish or town council were increasing parking charges to cover such entry cost and this appeared to be gathering momentum and could be considered for Torcross. The decision would be arrived at District Council's sole discretion and John believed this would be on the following Thursday. After such decision those included would go with a company who provided this facility. The Chairman questioned how District could realistically look at this for Torcross when after installation of the equipment it would take 10 years to payback. What about costs for maintaining the toilet as this was something District would continue to pay for. John explained that the charging was only a subsidy and not paying for provision of toilets. At a cost of £20,000 to install a mechanism to monitor use this was a crazy scheme as the entire profit paid over 10 years would not contribute to maintenance of the facility but pay for the pay on entry scheme. It was noted that a lot of people did not carry coins around and anything electronic would be affected by the salt air or water! It was asked what cost per use was used for calculations and it was advised 20p. Considering footfall in Torcross 500 people x 20p would bring in £1000 but

would not achieve the totals illustrated in the project case. As a costing exercise these figures did not stand up to any kind of scrutiny.

Cllr Fletcher-Neal advised that footfall would drastically reduce from October to April and if another storm hit would this affect costs and then District would raise the cost again. As an unknown this scheme was not workable and a £20,000 turnstile at Torcross could be lost to the sea in the next year.

Cllr Mrs Doust asked how this could be fair across the District when Totnes paid 50p per hr to park and yet Torcross 90p per hour. Why could District not just raise all parking charges up to what was paid here now and make it fair. John explained that Mayors Avenue car park in Dartmouth was always full but at Ivybridge and the top of Kingsbridge, Town Council put the charge down to £1 so it was continually full up. Car park extra charging could accommodate toilet provision and District would consider such a local proposal. The Chairman stated parish council would like to see the figures from District for this proposal. Car park machines were often down and should be repaired quicker to ensure the income but John explained that the meter providers did not work over weekends and thus machines took time to repair. They were looking at other provider options but all companies appeared the same.

Beesands toilets were not included and it was questioned why. It could be that nearby cafes were contributing but John felt that for these toilets more robust discussions needed to take place with businesses.

It was noted that Kingsbridge Town Council made a donation of £1000 towards maintenance and this was accepted as alternative to introducing turnstiles. Parish Councils could make any suggestions to District and they would consider them but John felt that no doubt some would close. As an increase in Kingsbridge parking charges had been introduced to cover some facilities it was questioned whether once established making a contribution surely it could only go up each year.

Cllr Fletcher-Neal enquired whether purchase of business and residents car park permits was allocated to each individual car park and whether the money received from residents for permit parking would be allocated towards the costs for toilets. John responded that they had considered many things including a tourism tax and he asked the Devolution Group to look at Tourist Tax but once the officers got hold of this idea it died.

There was a general feeling across the South Hams that District Council was keen to push assets with any maintenance costs down to parish. District was intending spending £20,000 of residents money to install machines and if they were reliable people could use them this was acceptable but this scheme did not look like a robust piece of work. However District had already agreed to go down one of these three routes so it would happen.

Cllr Lynn noted the public bin at Hallsands and the fact that during this summer it was left for three or four days and had not been regularly emptied properly. This bin was in an environment that any litter left around was washed up and going into the sea. John noted that there had been big issues with waste collections and the major problem was that good managers did not want to work for small Districts like South Hams. The problem was all about managing men out on the street. The Chairman questioned why because they could not get good managers inhouse they would outsource to a company they could not control. John suggested that if they paid a higher amount to a waste manager this would affect the CEO rate so with a lesser pay scale they did not even get anyone apply now. John had always been one to keep provision in house but if District could not give residents what they wanted they had to do something. The Chairman felt this was more a

command structure not working and John responded they had to abide by the Green Book for pay scales.

Cllr Cowley noted difficulties in planning and delays that had taken place where improvements did not appear to have worked over the years. John Tucker responded that the service was a lot better than when they were going through Transition 18. They were now in a positive position saving £3.9million per year. He suggested that staff spent more time reading up on new legislation and as house building areas had been taken out of the Joint Local Plan there was limited space to build as areas were covered by AONB, Conservation Area etc.

The parish wish to use the area of land behind Beesands toilets was raised as it was in an area close to the coast and susceptible to flooding. John was unaware of the request to asset officers and Dist Cllr Brazil explained how the park was destroyed. This was an attractive and entirely suitable piece of land in front of the council houses but every time the matter was raised there was chicanery from officers at the District end. What could be done to release that land as it was not suitable for development being in a vulnerable coastal flooding area. Dist Cllr Brazil noted that officers had a pet project to build on this land but that it could be washed away at any time but these officers had blocked attempts because of this housing project idea.

Cllr Cowley referred to Beesands and that the area was presently plagued with flooding and erosion and yet District planning still used plans issued by the Environment Agency that showed no change at all in the shoreline. Normal procedure was that 30metres back from the shoreline there was a danger of flooding. The outer road to the Cellars at Beesands had disappeared but flood plans used would tend to imply that there was no flooding in Beesands with the shoreline illustrated as it was 15 – 20 years ago. This was ridiculous and a person could stand in the sea but these plans indicated they would not get their feet wet for 30 years. This was a major problem for planning consideration at Beesands and Hallsands. Using these plans the Hallsands properties built 15 years ago could still be built today. Common sense should say to planning officers when visiting a proposed site that the water was close!! Major wrong decisions were being made on outdated data.

The redevelopment of Seagulls, Hallsands was put forward with a request from the planning department for more information relating to flooding. The report returned using data from the Environment Agency was that the property would not get flooded under 30 years. In fact during the recent storm the sea was already washing around the footings of the property. John noted that the Environment Agency did everything on a desktop basis and rarely visited. Dist Cllr Brazil noted that he had it minuted in the District planning report that the local view was that the house would not be there in 20 years' time. Dist Cllr Brazil explained that the District planning department was beholden to the Environment Agency data and it was thus hard to go against them.

*The Chairman thanked John Tucker for attending and he then left the meeting.*

#### **DISTRICT AND COUNTY COUNCILLOR'S REPORT**

Cllr Brazil was going to address toilet charging and rubbish but these had all been adequately dealt with. He noted it was an embarrassment what had happened and it did not make economic sense. At the Salcombe Harbour Authority meeting last Monday they had talked about public toilets at Whitestrand, Batson, the Ferry steps and across at Portlemouth and those present wanted to gather together a conglomerate of businesses to put their hands in their pockets and provide a free service. District currently charged for toilets at Kingsbridge quay car park but in some cases they were in a disgusting state. The Harbour wanted the public toilets to be Rolls Royce. Pay on Entry he felt did not work as it took 10 years to pay off for the new equipment. Beesands and

Prawle were not on the list and he thought this was because District had forgotten. Regarding the rubbish collection problems District's argument for privatising was they could not run it. Those who should be concentrating solely on rubbish collection were not doing the job. Currently the staff for this service was demotivated as it was being privatised.

At full council they were looking at revisiting the commercial property investment idea but this time only for property within the South Hams. If it was a proposal that ticked boxes supporting local businesses and the local economy he could see merit on this scheme. Also councillors had changed the criteria to include benefit to local community. A Health and Wellbeing Centre in Dartmouth was to be built to replace Dartmouth Hospital and then the NHS leases it off them. The NHS had sold the Dartmouth Hospital and they were paid the capital receipt so he could not understand why they were not building it. Once again officers were trying to devise projects and run these ventures as there was a group of officers in the assets department who wanted to be developers. He and other councillors were trying to get a proper line of command so that the Executive took the decision and Scrutiny could call projects in. The Chairman felt expertise for property management was needed as well as this was a specialist thing not just something anyone could do. Dist Cllr Brazil was on the Audit Committee and some more independent Conservatives were with him so he would like to think there were safeguards. He quoted a project, being the incinerator at Plymouth, which was developed by a local council in Germany and the idea sold so that those residents now paid zero council tax and officers at District aspired to this.

County Council wise the main topic was the Slapton Line road. The Chairman agreed that this was a fantastic job and he was hope by full council next month the road would be open. Cty Cllr Brazil went for a tour round all the back roads via Forder and back by Gara Mill, Deer Bridge and he along with Highways officers decided where passing places were going to be tarmacked. This would never be an alternative route for the Slapton Line but for local traffic would provide more passing places even for use in the winter. Excess money from the Slapton road was also being used to install piling further along at Torcross.

Cllr Lynn asked on behalf of the Channel Fishermen what had become of the support from South Hams District Council for these new Life Jackets as it appeared to have gone quiet. Dartmouth had also put their names down and heard nothing. Cty Cllr Brazil would chase this up with Dist Cllr Mrs Pearce.

***Cllr Brazil left the meeting.***

#### **4. PLANNING & PLANNING MATTERS**

The applications below were received during **August** and such observations forwarded to District Council by the Parish Clerk under delegated authority:

- 1716/18/FUL Erection of a single dwelling house with landscaping Land At SX 814408, Beeson – Objection to such development in a prominent site within the AONB in previously undeveloped arable land. This proposal was not required for farming need and sat outside the village boundary. There were no extenuating circumstances to justify an exception to the understood policy within the AONB.
- 1219/18/VAR Variation of condition 2 (Approved Plans) following grant of planning consent 2007/16/FUL (Replacement dwelling, together with associated landscaping) Former Site Of Parkside, Road Past Tradesmans Arms, Stokenham, TQ7 2SZ – Objection. The advice in the report of 2007/16/FUL that there should be no permitted development and other comments with regard to fenestration should be considered as the current number and size of windows present serious overlooking of the neighbouring property contravening the planning officer's advice. With the previous assertion by parish council that the size of property on this site was felt to be overdevelopment could the planning

officer also please relook at the boundary lines as drawn and compare them with previous approved plans as on the ground these were questioned and the property felt to be overbearing in its location affecting this Conservation Area.

- 2262/18/HHO Householder application for erection of single storey rear extension and side elevation porch 8 Longbrook, Chillington. No objection. The statements within the plans raised concerns with regard to drainage due to historic problems experienced in this area. The roof eaves are drawn to overhang the neighbouring property boundary and thus parish council would request that the planning officer consider this intrusion.
- 2289/18/HHO Householder application for alterations and extension (amendments to approval 0732/17/HHO) Rose Cottage, Widewell – No objection. Parish Council was concerned about continued pressure on this section of highway and the knock on need for more off road parking and increased passing spaces due to overspill of vehicles from the continued growth of size of existing properties in this hamlet.

**The applications below were received for consideration and such observations forwarded to District Council**

- 2722/18/FUL Conversion of agricultural derelict barn into habitable dwelling with associated external works, garage and log store Barn At Sx808427, Opposite Church House Inn, Stokenham – No objection.
- 2817/18/FUL Formation of hard surfaced area in connection with agricultural activity Coleridge Farm, Coleridge Lane, Chillington - No objection.
- 2929/18/CLE Existing Use Lawful development certificate for existing use of as a dwelling Byley, Beeson – It was agreed from local knowledge that this has been used as a dwelling for much longer than the period of 10 years.

**5. DISTRICT PUBLIC TOILETS**

The proposal by South Hams District Council to introduce Pay as you Enter for the public toilets at Torcross was considered and it was AGREED to respond as follows:

- Following discussion with the Leader of the Council a suggestion was put forward that car park charges could rise to mitigate the cost of pay on entry and parish council requested to be advised what increase would be required so they could consider this option
- The installation of a turnstile at Torcross for a cost of £20,000 would require 10 years to recoup and therefore this proposal appeared to be a complete financial non-starter and called into question the basis of the financial argument put forward.

**6. APPLE PRESS**

The electricity safety requirements for this event were reviewed and it was AGREED that further steps should be taken to provide circuit protection with separate leads and an enclosed housing hub for outside plug points at a cost of £73.60.

Anne Bowyer advised she had found a storyteller but asked if someone would be prepared to run a further children's activity on the day. Andrea Moore had agreed to do this. Anne also needed more help for the barbecue on the day as they were a couple of people down due to holidays. Cllrs Cowley and Gardner agreed to assist although others would probably also be there. Cllr Spence would fetch tables and chairs from the Parish Hall with his trailer and ask Cllr Rogers if he would assist for such return. Cllr Mrs Doust advised that Ann Foss had indicated that she would attend and do willow weaving. Cllr Gardner would also bring the coastguard truck down.

**7. REPORTS**

- Cllr Lynn – Thanked parish council for providing portaloos which had been a great success. He had met with Hallsands residents but was not sure of how they would

progress at this point. He had also collected and paid for two posts for the Chillington Orchard sign at a cost of £20.

- Cllr Churton – Enquired what the plans were to reinstate the road surface around Muckwell after works had been postponed during the height of the tourist usage. Unfortunately he was advised that the County contractors would put up notices with a weeks’ notice. He said he would put a flyer out to residents to explain it would only be a week’s notice and they should look out for this.
- Cllr Cowley – Explained he attended the development management meeting for the Beesands application and did not feel that the decision made were well informed. The problem was that the impression given was that the councillors were neither well versed in planning policy nor interested in being so. There were vague objections that planning officers slapped down and did not offer the District Councillors alternatives to use to get their point across. What it raised was the issue of the flood problems due to incorrect data. The Environment Agency puts out the mapping that the planners use to determine whether a new property or change would be in a Zone for flooding. Devon County had not updated their mapping so it was out of date but planners were using it. Looking at the updated information the shoreline was 20 years old so totally inappropriate for Torcross, Hallsands and Beesands. The Environment Agency would not change their policy and parish council could not get planners to pro-actively come down and check. He therefore suggested that whenever parish council received applications for those areas parish council must look at it with local knowledge. Then instead of just stating objection due to flooding they make their response strong as to why not. It must be emphasised within an objection that from local knowledge the area would flood and not maybe. Then the District Councillor must be advised of these locations so that if a planning intended to put it forward with approval it must be requested to take it to Development Management Committee. Cllr Mrs Doust asked if photographs could be submitted to illustrate out of date data.
- Cllr Fletcher-Neal – Noted that Torcross shop would close at the end of September unless a white knight came along. It was up for sale but the Lease ended on 30<sup>th</sup> September and the owner did not want another long lease. There was talk of a community asset and residents putting money in and running this asset and people were trying to think of something. The first anyone in Torcross knew of the pilings was when a surveyor turned up to measure up and the landowner questioned them which was 2 or 3 weeks ago.
- Cllr Spence – Chillington Wood Sign had been completed and was ready to put up and about to be erected on Chestnut posts delivered by Cllr Lynn having purchased these from Mr Tolchard. It would hopefully be up in time for when the apples were picked. . He further expanded on his walk along the newly laid section of the Slapton line and explained the care that these contractors had taken with meticulously picking up all the old tarmac on the beach and re-establishing the plants within the SSSI. The surface was beautifully smooth with gentle camber going into the ley. He expected it to be completed before 14<sup>th</sup> October.
- Cllr Gardner –A couple of calls outs for coastguards had been from locations where there were no longer phone boxes and those reporting had no mobiles or reception. Installing defibrillators was to be applauded but communication was paramount.
- Cllr Mrs Doust – Had notified the school about improvements to Sumerye Lane and the Chillington Hall car park and these points would go out in a newsletter to all parents. Sumerye Lane was overgrown now at the Island Farm end as hedgerows had started to touch in the middle. This would be down to the landowner.
- Cllrs Mrs Dayment – Noted that the footpath from Gratton Drive to Longbrook remained closed and Footpaths Officer, Paul McFadden, would be requested to check works.
- Cllr Rogers emailed this report as he was unable to attend – 1. I investigated a complaint about a blocked drain on the Widewell road above Torcross and it had been blocked with

the knowledge of the owners, their reason being that it was undermining the road. Parish council would need to inform County Highways (Lisa Edmonds ) for a solution.

- 2. Now the hedge cutting season had started he would undertake all the ditch and drain cleaning in the parish, so any input by people on any problems would be helpful.
- 3. The works on Island farm and Riddlefoot lanes were completed.
- 4. He wished to trim the hedges this year along the permissive at Torcross before Christmas as already they were overhanging the path in places. A request would be made to the Field Centre.
- 5. He felt that the hedge on the approach from Kingsbridge towards the roundabout at Stokenham had been half cut and not completed. Highways should be asked to approach the owners to ask when they intended to complete the works.
- 6. The hedge on the junction of Kiln Lane up Coleridge lane was becoming a traffic hazard so again County needed to ask the owners to address this problem.

### **CLERK'S REPORT**

- Consideration was given to the District Pre Planning Consultation by the working party who responded to planning applications during August. Comments were forwarded as below.

Why could pre applications and their decisions not be made readily available on the District website as Cornwall Council already did. As community consultation was suggested and/or supported then it seemed sensible to let the community know via the website that a conversation on a proposal was ongoing.

Draft point 5.4 advised that a list of all pre applications would be made available to District Members so why with openness and transparency were parish council not included in this circulation.

Parish Councils were to be invited to Development Forums which would in turn be held in public. The format of this consideration along with those present citing predetermination by one parish councillor made the meeting plan sketchy. If there was to be five minutes for the parish to speak were the documents to be made available beforehand so that any consideration could be taken to parish council for a corporate response to be put by the attendee.

In the light of recent policy changes like this and pay on entry for toilets it was felt that rather than invite the officer to explain changes to parish council that John Tucker, Leader and Simon Wright, Deputy Leader would be asked to attend this meeting and discuss District policy so that parish council could better understand it.

- Kingsmen Harmony Chorus\_ Learn to Sing (LTS) in Harmony was offering a FREE course. The Marketing Manager for the Kingsmen Harmony Chorus based at the former Evangelical Church in Fore Street, Kingsbridge had contacted parish council to advise they were holding this free 5 week 'Learn to Sing' course for men starting Wed 7th November to Wed 12th December, 7.30pm to 9pm. Parish Council was asked to spread the message.
- A resident had again highlighted the vegetation covering a sign on entering Chillington from Stokenham. The sign indicated that the road narrowed. Apparently having mentioned his problem at the Chillington Fete a councillor had indicated they would clear the sign but whilst having been done further work was required to lower the vegetation.
- Nigel Smith from Sherford Parish Council had made contact to advise that the parking of the Coleridge Bus in Chillington had been revoked. The landowner had given notice and the Coleridge Bus Association had tried in vain to find another place to park. Thus Cllr

Smith had requested that Stokenham join with him to approach the landowner with a proposal to pay to secure this parking for the next five years.

- Gambling Act 2005 – Consultation on draft Gambling Statement of Principles had been received but it was not felt that parish council needed to comment.
- Chillington village entry signs had been designed but a new location was sought for the one between Stokenham and Chillington.
- Broadband was dropping out and causing problems with emails. BT advised that the current package £14 discount came to an end shortly and the quarterly payment then became £54.70. For £56.90 pm they could provide 4G Assure which would have an additional one off cost for a dongal of £8.50 which would kick in and stop the signal dropping out. They could also provide a BT mobile phone for £18 pmon with 2 Giga, unlimited calls and texts. Parish Council felt that this was not a good package and the parish clerk should investigate further with Plus Net.
- Dean Small had called a meeting with District Council officers to raise awareness of the state of the area around the Sherman Tank. With the forthcoming D Day services to be held in 2019 he felt that works needed to be done to show respect for this memorial. The officers he had met with understood what he was asking and advised that they had applied to a funding stream for such works around South Hams and the amount they had applied for at Torcross was circa £15,000. It would be known whether they were successful at the end of this month. Since then a representative of the American Embassy had made contact with Dean as previously he personally had no idea of this memorial. He wanted to meet Dean at the tank this year and Dean was pressing for a contribution towards works. In an ideal world he would like to see the boulders removed and the area around re tarmacked and some boulders returned but a reduction in the height. This was to remove all the unsightly weeds amongst the boulders. Cleaning of the Royal Navy memorial, new benches and painting of the tank were also on the wish list.

If this could not be done then he would like to get the area cleared, weeded and some painting done and at this point asked if the parish council would consider assisting towards this work if District were unsuccessful in their application.

- Alun Griffiths contractors had advised that Sands Road Slapton would be closed at its junction with the A379 for resurfacing work. This would entail a night time closure of the junction between 18.00 hours on the 27<sup>th</sup> and 06.00 hours on the 28<sup>th</sup> September to enable them to tie-in the new road works at the junction. A signed diversion would be in place. All remaining works around the junction were being done using two-way lights to minimise disruption.’
- The Environment Agency had been nominated for an award for the Torcross sea defences. No cards were needed to promote this as parish councillors had personally voted.

## 8. FINANCE AND CHEQUES

### *End of Financial Year 2018*

Clear audit received with no further comments. Notification of this would be placed on the noticeboards as per the legislation.

### *Balances were provided for September and the below transactions were approved:*

Current £761.55

Savings (1) £101,376.72

Savings (2) £208.76

*Received: Nil*

***Payments in August***

HMRC – Tax & NI £178.32  
DCC – Pension £348.66  
Wages - £1109.10  
Stokenham Parish Hall – Hall Hire per annum £575.00  
Play Inspection – Park inspection £60.00

**Payments in September**

Dart Surfacing – Chillington Hall driveway resurfacing £3752.40  
HMRC – Tax & NI £178.52  
DCC – Pension £348.66  
Wages - £1108.90  
BT – Broadband and phone calls £157.96  
G. Tolchard – 2 x chestnut posts for Orchard sign £20.00  
Greenspace – Chillington play area cuts July, Aug and Sept CCA event cut £275.00

***Cheques:*** The payment from July with regard to MAT for the night landing site was eventually sent as a cheque so that it could go in the post with the community cheque also paying this invoice.

**9. NEXT MEETING**

Any planning applications received that could not await full council would be considered at a planning committee to be held on the first Thursday in October. The next full council would be held on 18<sup>th</sup> October 2018. Meetings commenced at 7.30p.m. in the Wesley Smith Room at Stokenham Parish Hall.

Meeting finished: 9.55p.m.

Signed ..... Chairman      Dated: 18<sup>th</sup> October 2018.